UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3

2

4

5

6

7

Ronald J. Allison,

Case No. 2:21-cv-01638-JAD-DJA

v.

Homeland Security, et. al.,

Defendants

Plaintiff

Order Dismissing and Closing Case

8

9

16

21

Plaintiff Ronald J. Allison brings this civil-rights lawsuit to redress constitutional violations that he claims he suffered while incarcerated at the Clark County Detention Center and 11 Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services. On September 17, 2021, this Court ordered the 12 plaintiff to file a complaint in compliance with Local Special Rule 2-1 ("LSR 2-1") and either 13 pay the \$402 filing fee or file a complete in forma pauperis application by November 15, 2021. 14 That deadline expired without the filing of a complaint in compliance with LSR 2-1 or a new 15 application or payment of the filing fee.

District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and "[i]n the exercise of 17 that power, they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . . dismissal" of a case. A 18 court may dismiss an action based on a party's failure to prosecute an action, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules.³ In determining whether to dismiss an action

²⁰ ¹ ECF No. 3.

² Thompson v. Hous. Auth. of City of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986).

³ See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53–54 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440– 41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for failure to comply with local rule requiring pro se plaintiffs to keep court apprised of address); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir.

on one of these grounds, the court must consider: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives.⁴ 5 The first two factors, the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation and the court's interest in managing its docket, weigh in favor of dismissal of the plaintiff's claims. The third factor, risk of prejudice to defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal because a presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in filing a pleading ordered by the court or prosecuting an action.⁵ A court's warning to a party that its failure to 10 obey the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the fifth factor's "consideration of alternatives" requirement, and that warning was given here. The fourth factor—the public 12 policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits—is greatly outweighed by the factors 13 favoring dismissal. 14||/// 15||/// 16||/// 17||/// 18 | / / / 19 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules). 21 ⁴ Thompson, 782 F.2d at 831; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1423–24; Malone, 833 F.2d at 130; Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260–61; Ghazali, 46 F.3d at 53. 22 ⁵ See Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). ⁶ Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1262; Malone, 833 F.2d at 132–33; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1424.

⁷ ECF No 3 at 2-3.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that THIS ACTION IS DISMISSED without prejudice based on the plaintiff's failure to file a complaint in compliance with LSR 2-1 and an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee as ordered. The Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE THIS CASE. No other documents may be filed in this now-closed case. If Ronald J. Allison wishes to pursue his claims, he must file a complaint in compliance with LSR 2-1 in a new case, and he must either pay the \$402 filing fee or file a complete in forma pauperis application in that new case. Dated: November 24, 2021 U.S. District Judge Jenniser A. Dorsey